

**Serial No. 10/686,478**

**Art Unit: 1616**


**REMARKS**

Applicants have entered new claims 19 and 20 directed to particular species of the present invention. The new claims 19 and 20 provide species claims for the election of species. Applicants respectfully submit that the new claims 19 and 20 are fully supported in the specification and claims as originally filed.

Applicants herewith elect with traverse claims 1-12 and 19, 20 for further prosecution in the application. Applicants further elect with traverse the species of claim 19 for examination in the application. Claims 1-12 and 20 read on the species of claim 19.

The Examiner is apparently relying on the fact that claims 1-12 are classified in Class 424, sub 489 and others while claims 13-18 are drawn to compositions classified in Class 424, subclass 401, 426 and others. Applicants respectfully submit that the patent classification system is not designed to determine whether one or more inventions have been made. The patent classification system affords a ready method for searching the prior art. Applicants respectfully submit that a requirement for restriction based on different subclasses is not a proper basis for a requirement for restriction. Applicants therefore respectfully request that claims 1-20 be examined in the present application.

Respectfully submitted,



Daniel S. Ortiz  
(Reg. No. 25,123)  
Attorney for Applicants  
(215) 628-1141

Cognis Corporation, Patent Dept.  
300 Brookside Avenue  
Amber, PA 19002

DSO/ras

H:\AMEND\16487A.REST-RESPONSE.DOC